



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	· ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,599	01/10/2002	Nick Chen	CHEN-336	5101
1444 7590 03/19/2004			EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300				•
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			3724	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

de
-

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/041,599	CHEN, NICK	CHEN, NICK	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Isaac N Hamilton	3724		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Allan N. Śhoap
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

characterized as elongated.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment after final will be entered because of a typographical error, however, the claims stand rejected as set forth in Paper No. 04. The applicant asserts that the elements used in the Wang reference to reject the limitations under 102 (b) come from two different table saws. The applicant's traversal is noted, however, all the elements are present in the Wang reference and obviously show all of the claimed limitations of the instant application. Applicant asserts that Wang does not disclose through holes transversely extended through adjustment members 40. It is believed that lock element 46 is part of the adjustment member 40. It is also believed that threaded portion 461 transversely extends through lock element 46, and, thus, Wang discloses through holes transversely extended through adjustment members 40. Applicant asserts that mounting hole 321, 322 is not arched and elongated. It is believed that mounting hole 321, 322 is arched at 321, and elongated at 322 as disclosed in Paper No. 04. Figure 4 clearly shows that the center of the hole adjacent 321 is not entirely enclosed which leaves a partially closed circle, or arch. The center hole 321 is also extended beyond the central region to the outside of element 32, and for this reason is